

FILED

07 OCT 12 PM 1:58

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BY: 

DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Constance Melkonian,
Plaintiff,

v.

Michael J. Astrue,
Commissioner of Social Security,
Defendant.

CASE NO: 06cv2081 JLS (BLM)


**ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO
FILE REPLY BRIEF**

The Court is in receipt of plaintiff's motion for an extension of time to file a reply brief in opposition to defendant's cross-motion for summary judgment.¹ The Clerk's Office **SHALL FILE** plaintiff's motion.

On October 10, 2007, the Court ordered defendant "to show cause why the Court should not set a revised briefing schedule (including a date certain for defendant to file an opposition and cross-motion)." (Doc. No. 42, at 2.) Therefore, the Court **GRANTS** plaintiff's motion for an extension of time. However, plaintiff **SHALL NOT FILE** her reply until the Court first issues the revised briefing schedule.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 12, 2007


Honorable Janis L. Sammartino
United States District Judge

¹ Defendant has, in fact, never filed any such cross-motion, but has only answered the complaint.